

Represent.us National Voter Survey
 MFour Mobile Research/United Republic
 Sample: 1,003 U.S. registered voters
 Mode: Online/Mobile
 Fielding Dates 11/12/13 – 11/17/13
 FINAL TOPLINE

Thank you for your participation. **Please read the following important instructions before proceeding.**

The survey will take about 10 minutes. You **cannot** stop the survey and restart later, so be sure you have enough time before starting.

Click on the “continue” button below to begin the survey.

[SECTION: QUALIFICATIONS]

- Are you, or is anyone living in your household, employed by a market research company, a newspaper, magazine, television or radio station, a political party or by a candidate for political office?

Yes	100%
No	[TERMINATE]
I don't Know	[TERMINATE]

- Not everyone in the United States is registered to vote. Are you registered to vote in the state you current live in?

Yes	100%
No	[TERMINATE]
I don't Know	[TERMINATE]

- Not everyone remembers to or is able to vote in most elections. Would you say you vote in all elections, most elections, some elections or very few elections, or do you not vote at all?

	Total	Rep	Dem	Ind/Oth
All elections	47.9%	54.7%	44.8%	44.8%
Most elections	52.1%	45.3%	55.2%	55.2%
Some elections	[TERM]	[TERM]	[TERM]	[TERM]
Very few elections	[TERM]	[TERM]	[TERM]	[TERM]
I don't vote at all	[TERM]	[TERM]	[TERM]	[TERM]
I don't know	[TERM]	[TERM]	[TERM]	[TERM]

[SECTION: TOPLINE SUBJECT ATTITUDES]

4. Do you think the U.S. election system is:

	Total	Rep	Dem	Ind/Oth
Equally fair to all candidates	15.1%	19.2%	17.2%	9.9%
Biased in favor of the candidates with the most money	71.2%	62.3%	71.1%	78.9%
Unsure	13.6%	18.6%	11.6%	11.2%

5. Are most politicians corrupt?

	Total	Rep	Dem	Ind/Oth
Yes	51.4%	53.1%	44.7%	55.8%
No	26.1%	28.6%	27.9%	22.3%
Unsure	22.5%	18.3%	27.4%	21.8%

Many Americans believe some groups have too much influence with our elected leaders and other groups don't have enough. Please read the following and, using a scale of 0 to 10, indicate whether you think the group has too much or too little influence. A score of zero means you think the group has far too little influence and a score of 10 means you think the group has far too much influence. A score of 5 means you think the group has the right amount of influence.

	Total	Rep	Dem	Ind/Oth	Mode	SD
6. The wealthiest 1% of Americans	8.00	7.05	8.76	8.15	10	2.3
7. Special interests	7.97	7.83	7.71	8.30	10	2.2
8. Labor unions	7.05	7.96	5.82	7.36	10	2.5
9. Wall Street and corporations	8.18	7.52	8.61	8.36	10	2.0
10. Lobbyists	8.39	8.38	8.11	8.65	10	2.1
11. SuperPACs	8.12	7.63	8.26	8.41	10	2.2
12. Big Money	8.70	8.19	9.02	8.86	10	1.7

[CONCEPT TEST]

13. Is it important or unimportant to you that our elected leaders reduce the influence of [SPLIT A: money/SPLIT B corruption] in political elections?

Split A (N=503)

	Total	Rep	Dem	Ind/Oth
Very important	60.5%	53.5%	64.9%	63.1%
Somewhat important	31.2%	34.6%	28.2%	30.6%
Important	91.7%	88.1%	93.1%	93.7%
Unimportant	7.3%	11.9%	5.5%	4.8%
Somewhat unimportant	3.5%	4.0%	3.8%	2.8%
Very unimportant	3.8%	7.9%	1.7%	1.9%
Refuse	1.0%		1.4%	1.5%

Split B (N=500)

	Total	Rep	Dem	Ind/Oth
Very important	81.7%	83.0%	82.2%	80.2%
Somewhat important	15.6%	14.8%	15.2%	16.6%
Important	97.3%	97.8%	97.4%	96.8%
Unimportant	2.5%	2.2%	2.6%	2.7%
Somewhat unimportant	1.0%	.7%	1.7%	.5%
Very unimportant	1.5%	1.4%	.8%	2.1%
Refuse	.2%			.6%

14. Would you support or oppose a federal law that imposes tough, new [SPLIT SAMPLE A: campaign finance laws/SPLIT SAMPLE B: anti-corruption laws] for politicians, lobbyists and Super PACs?

Split A (N=503)

	Total	Rep	Dem	Ind/Oth
Strongly support	60.5%	53.5%	62.0%	65.7%
Somewhat support	29.5%	33.9%	30.6%	24.3%
Support	90.0%	87.4%	92.6%	90.0%
Oppose	7.5%	11.9%	4.2%	6.5%
Somewhat oppose	4.9%	7.3%	3.1%	4.3%
Strongly oppose	2.6%	4.6%	1.1%	2.2%
Refuse	2.5%	.7%	3.2%	3.5%

Split B (N=500)

	Total	Rep	Dem	Ind/Oth
Strongly support	72.3%	68.4%	76.5%	71.8%
Somewhat support	25.0%	27.4%	21.2%	26.2%
Support	97.3%	95.8%	97.7%	98.0%
Oppose	2.1%	3.5%	1.8%	1.4%
Somewhat oppose	1.3%	1.2%	1.8%	1.1%
Strongly oppose	.8%	2.3%		.3%
Refuse	.6%	.8%	.5%	.5%

[ALT FUNDING TEST]

Many Americans say there is too much money in our election process and that big money donations have a corrupting influence on politicians. One solution for reducing the influence of money in politics is to incentivize politicians to accept campaign contribution limits. These voluntary contribution limits would strictly cap how much money a corporation, union, Super PAC or wealthy individual can give the candidate. Please read the following proposals designed to incentivize candidates to accept campaign contribution limits and indicate whether you would support or oppose each.

15. In proposal R, voters receive a **[SPLIT A: tax refund/SPLIT B: grant]** of up to \$100 that they can donate to the eligible candidate of their choice - eligible candidates agree not to accept donations of \$1000 or more. This proposal empowers everyday voters to support the candidates they like and encourages candidates to reject the big dollar contributions that lead to political corruption.

Split A (N=503)

	Total	Rep	Dem	Ind/Oth
Strongly support	26.5%	24.6%	35.0%	20.5%
Somewhat support	42.6%	40.1%	42.5%	45.0%
Support	69.0%	64.7%	77.5%	65.5%
Oppose	27.3%	31.3%	18.8%	31.3%
Somewhat oppose	16.0%	19.4%	12.4%	16.1%
Strongly oppose	11.3%	11.9%	6.4%	15.2%
Refuse	3.6%	4.0%	3.7%	3.2%

Split B (N=500)

	Total	Rep	Dem	Ind/Oth
Strongly support	25.5%	22.6%	31.5%	22.6%
Somewhat support	45.6%	44.2%	42.7%	49.2%
Support	71.1%	66.8%	74.2%	71.8%
Oppose	26.6%	30.9%	23.2%	26.2%
Somewhat oppose	16.3%	17.5%	15.6%	16.1%
Strongly oppose	10.3%	13.4%	7.7%	10.1%
Refuse	2.3%	2.3%	2.6%	2.0%

16. In proposal S, candidates who agree to campaign contribution limits of \$1000 per donor and get small campaign donations from over 500 local voters receive a set amount of public campaign funds to help them run. This proposal enables credible candidates who raise money from small donors, and reject the big dollar contributions that lead to political corruption, to compete with candidates who continue to accept big money contributions.

	Total	Rep	Dem	Ind/Oth
Strongly support	28.5%	26.1%	30.0%	29.2%
Somewhat support	48.9%	44.5%	53.7%	48.4%
Support	77.4%	70.6%	83.7%	77.6%
Oppose	19.9%	26.2%	13.6%	20.0%
Somewhat oppose	14.3%	17.2%	11.0%	14.8%
Strongly oppose	5.5%	9.0%	2.6%	5.2%
Refuse	2.7%	3.2%	2.7%	2.4%

17. In proposal T, candidates who agree to campaign contribution limits of \$1000 per donor receive six dollars from public campaign funds for every dollar they raise in small contributions from local voters. This proposal enables credible candidates who raise money from small donors, and reject the big dollar contributions that lead to political corruption, to compete with candidates who continue to accept big money contributions.

	Total	Rep	Dem	Ind/Oth
Strongly support	23.2%	19.1%	29.6%	21.0%
Somewhat support	50.4%	51.5%	48.5%	51.3%
Support	73.6%	70.6%	78.1%	72.2%
Oppose	24.2%	27.6%	19.3%	25.6%
Somewhat oppose	16.7%	15.7%	16.7%	17.7%
Strongly oppose	7.4%	12.0%	2.6%	7.9%
Refuse	2.2%	1.8%	2.6%	2.2%

18. Of the three proposals you just read, which would you be most willing to support:

(N=503)	Total	Rep	Dem	Ind/Oth
• Proposal R: Voters receive a SPLIT A: tax refund of up to \$100 that they can donate to the eligible candidate of their choice - eligible candidates agree not to accept donations of \$1000 or more.	34.3%	40.1%	32.5%	30.5%
• Proposal S: Candidates who agree to campaign contribution limits and get small campaign donations from over 500 local voters receive a set amount of public campaign funds to help them run.	26.4%	27.0%	27.1%	25.3%
• Proposal T: Candidates who agree to campaign contribution limits receive six dollars from public campaign funds for every dollar they raise in small contributions from local voters.	19.9%	12.8%	25.6%	21.4%
• None of these [SKIP TO AACA TESTING]	17.7%	18.8%	13.5%	20.4%
• Refuse [SKIP TO AACA TESTING]	1.7%	1.3%	1.3%	2.5%

(N=500)	Total	Rep	Dem	Ind/Oth
• Proposal R: Voters receive a SPLIT B: grant of up to \$100 that they can donate to the eligible candidate of their choice - eligible candidates agree not to accept donations of \$1000 or more.	31.4%	31.4%	33.1%	30.0%
• Proposal S: Candidates who agree to campaign contribution limits and get small campaign donations from over 500 local voters receive a set amount of public campaign funds to help them run.	29.4%	26.4%	32.2%	29.3%
• Proposal T: Candidates who agree to campaign contribution limits receive six dollars from public campaign funds for every dollar they raise in small contributions from local voters.	24.1%	24.1%	23.3%	24.7%
• None of these [SKIP TO AACA TESTING]	14.0%	17.2%	10.3%	14.7%
• Refuse [SKIP TO AACA TESTING]	1.1%	.8%	1.1%	1.3%

19. In your own words, why did you select [PIPE ABOVE SELECTION TEXT]

[TEXT] Suppose your state was considering adopting [PIPE ANSWER FROM Q18]. Below are some arguments for and against the proposal. Please indicate, using a scale of 0 to 10, whether you agree or disagree with each argument. A score of 0 means you completely disagree and a score of 10 means you completely agree. A score of 5 means you neither agree nor disagree.

	MEAN				Mode	SD
	Total	Rep	Dem	Ind/Oth		
20. This proposal is just a new form of public financing for politicians; it hasn't improved things before and it won't work now.	4.31	4.34	4.11	4.48	5	2.5
21. This proposal requires taxpayers paying for a whole new government bureaucracy that we don't need and can't afford right now.	4.24	4.40	4.03	4.30	5	2.7
22. To pay for this proposal we will either need to raise taxes or take money from schools and public safety and we can't afford either option.	3.82	3.95	3.93	3.60	0	3.0
23. This proposal won't stop big money from supporting the candidates they like; it will only give taxpayer money to extremist politicians who can't attract mainstream support.	4.47	4.52	4.56	4.33	5	2.6
24. This proposal means spending your tax dollars to pay for junk mail and T.V. commercials from politicians you don't agree with.	4.29	4.23	4.15	4.47	5	2.8
25. This proposal will reduce the influence of money in politics.	5.90	5.95	5.98	5.78	8	2.9
26. This proposal will make everyday voters more important.	7.13	7.18	7.24	6.97	10	2.3
27. This proposal will reduce the corruption in politics.	5.65	5.51	5.92	5.51	5	2.8
28. This proposal will allow voters to elect good candidates who will stand up to special interests.	6.48	6.54	6.55	6.36	5	2.3
29. This proposal will cost citizens just \$2 a year to fund, an affordable price to reduce the influence of special interests.	6.42	6.30	6.62	6.34	5	2.6
30. This proposal will help elect candidates who will ensure our tax dollars are spent on things like education and public safety, not on tax loopholes subsidies and giveaways for big money donors.	6.01	6.02	6.22	5.81	5	2.6

31. After reading these arguments, would you support or oppose [PIPE: selection from Q18]

Prop R – COMBINED (N=330)

	Total	Rep	Dem	Ind/Oth
Strongly support	28.9%	28.1%	30.1%	28.7%
Somewhat support	54.0%	52.6%	53.5%	55.8%
Support	82.9%	80.7%	83.6%	84.5%
Oppose	13.9%	16.5%	13.8%	11.3%
Somewhat oppose	10.2%	11.7%	12.0%	6.9%
Strongly oppose	3.7%	4.8%	1.8%	4.4%
Refuse	3.2%	2.8%	2.6%	4.3%

Prop S (N=280)

	Total	Rep	Dem	Ind/Oth
Strongly support	17.5%	16.2%	21.0%	15.2%
Somewhat support	70.0%	70.9%	69.4%	69.8%
Support	87.5%	87.1%	90.4%	85.0%
Oppose	11.4%	11.5%	8.7%	14.0%
Somewhat oppose	9.5%	9.0%	7.7%	11.5%
Strongly oppose	2.0%	2.4%	1.0%	2.6%
Refuse	1.1%	1.4%	1.0%	1.0%

Prop T (N=221)

	Total	Rep	Dem	Ind/Oth
Strongly support	19.3%	11.9%	27.5%	16.7%
Somewhat support	64.5%	65.9%	58.2%	69.3%
Support	83.8%	77.8%	85.7%	86.0%
Oppose	14.8%	20.2%	11.9%	14.0%
Somewhat oppose	13.6%	17.0%	11.9%	12.8%
Strongly oppose	1.3%	3.2%	0.0%	1.1%
Refuse	1.4%	2.0%	2.4%	0.0%

Prop R – Split A (N=173)

	Total	Rep	Dem	Ind/Oth
Strongly support	24.9%	23.6%	34.8%	17.2%
Somewhat support	53.0%	47.3%	51.8%	61.3%
Support	78.0%	70.8%	86.5%	78.5%
Oppose	19.1%	24.5%	11.7%	19.8%
Somewhat oppose	12.6%	16.4%	8.0%	12.5%
Strongly oppose	6.5%	8.1%	3.7%	7.3%
Refuse	2.9%	4.7%	1.8%	1.7%

Prop R – Split B (N=157)

	Total	Rep	Dem	Ind/Oth
Strongly support	33.3%	34.7%	25.5%	39.6%
Somewhat support	55.0%	60.3%	55.2%	50.5%
Support	88.3%	95.0%	80.7%	90.1%
Oppose	8.1%	5.0%	16.0%	3.2%
Somewhat oppose	7.5%	5.0%	16.0%	1.5%
Strongly oppose	.6%			1.7%
Refuse	3.6%		3.3%	6.7%

32. Suppose a law was proposed that gives each voter a \$100 tax refund they can choose to give any eligible candidate. However, only candidates who agree not to accept large donations are eligible to receive these donations. Please read the following statements and indicate which statement you agree with most:

(N=412)	Total	Rep	Dem	Ind/Oth
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • [SPLIT A-1] We should pass this law because it empowers voters to contribute to and elect candidates who will listen to and work for the voters, rather than big money special interest donors. In addition, the system is easy to administer and voters who don't want to participate don't have to. 	40.9%	33.8%	42.0%	44.8%
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • We should reject this law because it means creating a new bureaucracy and redirecting tax dollars from schools and public safety to help unpopular politicians publicize their extremist views. Worse, it won't even stop the special interests from spending all they want on the candidates they want elected. 	23.1%	26.6%	22.2%	21.6%
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Neither choice 	21.8%	25.8%	18.3%	22.3%
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • I don't know 	14.2%	13.8%	17.5%	11.3%
(N=418)	Total	Rep	Dem	Ind/Oth
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • [SPLIT A-2] We should pass this law because it will elect leaders who will redirect our tax dollars back to schools and public safety rather than giving handouts to big money special interests and their cronies. The system saves money immediately, is easy to administer and voters who don't want to participate don't have to. 	39.9%	40.9%	47.2%	32.0%
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • We should reject this law because it means creating a new bureaucracy and redirecting tax dollars from schools and public safety to help unpopular politicians publicize their extremist views. Worse, it won't even stop the special interests from spending all they want on the candidates they want elected. 	22.8%	23.4%	18.7%	26.0%
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Neither choice 	23.7%	24.1%	21.2%	25.5%
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • I don't know 	13.6%	11.5%	12.9%	16.5%

33. A group of concerned voters is proposing [**SPLIT A: tough, new campaign finance laws/SPLIT B: tough, new anti-corruption laws**] for politicians, special interest lobbyists and Super PACs by:

- Prohibiting politicians from taking campaign money from industries they regulate;
- Putting limits on unregulated Super PACs;
- increasing transparency for campaign funding;
- Empowering all voters through a tax refund to contribute to the candidates they support;
- Reducing the influence of big money in elections; and
- Getting tougher with politicians and special interests that break campaign finance laws.

Based on what you know, would you support or oppose this proposal?

Split A (N=503)

	Total	Rep	Dem	Ind/Oth
Strongly support	35.8%	27.8%	48.4%	32.0%
Somewhat support	26.2%	32.8%	22.3%	23.6%
Lean to support	22.7%	21.7%	18.9%	27.1%
Support	84.8%	82.3%	89.7%	82.6%
Oppose	8.4%	11.8%	2.2%	10.7%
Lean to oppose	4.8%	3.9%	1.7%	8.4%
Somewhat oppose	1.5%	3.9%		.7%
Strongly oppose	2.1%	4.0%	.6%	1.6%
I don't know	6.8%	5.9%	8.1%	6.6%

Split B (N=500)

	Total	Rep	Dem	Ind/Oth
Strongly support	40.8%	35.8%	48.0%	38.6%
Somewhat support	28.8%	26.1%	20.8%	37.5%
Lean to support	19.6%	21.1%	22.9%	15.8%
Support	89.2%	83.0%	91.7%	91.8%
Oppose	5.8%	11.7%	3.0%	3.7%
Lean to oppose	2.5%	3.7%	2.4%	1.6%
Somewhat oppose	1.6%	3.8%		1.4%
Strongly oppose	1.7%	4.2%	.6%	.8%
I don't know	5.0%	5.3%	5.3%	4.4%

34. The proposal you just read contains several components. Please indicate which **THREE** you think are most important.

	Total	Rep	Dem	Ind/Oth
• Prohibit politicians from taking campaign money from the industries they regulate	46.8%	44.9%	47.1%	48.1%
• Put tough limits on unregulated Super PACs	30.8%	23.7%	34.6%	33.4%
• Stop politicians from becoming lobbyists right after they leave office	13.9%	17.1%	11.1%	13.6%
• Dramatically reduce how much money lobbyists can give to candidates, political parties and political committees	37.2%	33.0%	36.9%	41.0%
• Increase transparency for campaign donations so voters can see who is funding a candidate within 24 hours of the donation	23.5%	22.6%	24.9%	23.1%
• Empower all voters with a special tax refund so they can choose to donate to candidates who agree to campaign contribution limits	14.9%	14.5%	15.9%	14.3%
• Reducing the influence of big money on candidates and elections	37.8%	32.7%	42.3%	38.2%
• Get tougher with politicians and special interests who break campaign finance laws	29.4%	34.0%	29.0%	25.9%
• Prohibit politicians from raising money when they are supposed to be doing their job	16.4%	24.3%	11.6%	13.8%
• Prohibit political candidates from accepting donations from outside their district	14.7%	16.1%	9.8%	17.7%
• Prohibit politicians from voting on an issue that would benefit a person who gave over \$1000 to their campaign	22.9%	25.9%	24.0%	19.5%
• None of these	3.9%	3.8%	4.2%	3.9%

Suppose we are considering adopting this legislation. Below are some arguments for and against. Please indicate, using a scale of 0 to 10, whether you agree or disagree with each argument. A score of 0 means you completely disagree and a score of 10 means you completely agree. A score of 5 means you neither agree nor disagree.

	MEAN				Mode	SD
	Total	Rep	Dem	Ind/Oth		
35. This proposal promises big changes, but we know any campaign finance laws or anti-corruption laws passed will have loopholes and won't make a difference.	5.57	5.73	5.41	5.59	5	2.4
36. This proposal means paying for a huge new federal bureaucracy and we can't afford that right now.	4.56	4.96	4.18	4.56	5	2.8
37. To pay for this proposal we will either need to raise taxes or take money from schools and our national defense, and we can't do that right now.	4.29	4.56	4.01	4.30	5	2.9
38. This proposal won't stop big money from supporting the candidates they like; it will only give taxpayer money to extremist politicians who can't attract mainstream support.	4.75	4.98	4.63	4.67	5	2.6
39. This proposal means spending federal tax dollars to pay for junk mail and T.V. commercials from politicians you don't agree with.	4.33	4.55	4.19	4.28	5	2.7
40. This proposal will reduce the influence of money in politics.	5.89	5.62	6.18	5.88	5	2.5
41. This proposal will make everyday voters more important.	6.40	6.22	6.64	6.35	5	2.4
42. This proposal will reduce the corruption in politics.	5.54	5.26	5.89	5.48	5	2.7
43. This proposal will allow voters to elect good candidates who will stand up to special interests.	6.08	5.88	6.33	6.02	5	2.4
44. This proposal will cost citizens just \$2 a year to fund, an affordable price to reduce the influence of special interests.	5.89	5.44	6.21	6.01	5	2.6
45. This proposal will help elect candidates who will ensure our tax dollars are spent on things like education and public safety, not on tax loopholes and corporate giveaways for big money donors.	5.82	5.61	6.12	5.74	5	2.5

46. Based on everything you have read, would you support or oppose a new law that imposes **[SPLIT A: tough, new campaign finance laws/SPLIT B: tough, new anti-corruption laws]** for politicians, special interest lobbyists and Super PACs by:

- Prohibiting politicians from taking campaign money from industries they regulate;
- Putting tough limits on unregulated Super PACs;
- Increasing transparency for campaign funding;
- Empowering all voters through a tax refund to contribute to the candidates they support;
- Reducing the influence of big money in elections; and
- Getting tougher with politicians and special interests that break campaign finance laws.

Split A (N=503)

	Total	Rep	Dem	Ind/Oth
Strongly support	36.9%	29.1%	47.2%	34.9%
Somewhat support	28.8%	34.7%	24.4%	27.1%
Lean to support	18.0%	17.0%	15.6%	21.1%
Support	83.7%	80.8%	87.3%	83.1%
Oppose	9.5%	13.8%	4.0%	10.4%
Lean to oppose	5.8%	6.5%	2.3%	8.3%
Somewhat oppose	1.6%	2.6%	1.1%	1.0%
Strongly oppose	2.1%	4.7%	.5%	1.1%
I don't know	6.8%	5.4%	8.7%	6.5%

Split B (N=500)

	Total	Rep	Dem	Ind/Oth
Strongly support	42.2%	31.7%	53.7%	40.4%
Somewhat support	27.2%	31.2%	18.2%	31.8%
Lean to support	18.5%	23.1%	16.0%	17.1%
Support	87.9%	86.0%	87.9%	89.3%
Oppose	5.9%	8.6%	4.0%	5.3%
Lean to oppose	2.9%	2.2%	3.5%	2.9%
Somewhat oppose	1.6%	2.2%	.5%	1.9%
Strongly oppose	1.4%	4.2%		.5%
I don't know	6.3%	5.4%	8.1%	5.4%

[SECTION: DEMOGRAPHICS]

Now I would like to ask you a few final questions just for statistical purposes...

47. Do you consider yourself to be a:

A strong Republican	18.2%
A not so strong Republican	12.9%
A strong Democrat	20.8%
A not so strong Democrat	11.4%
Independent	32.8%
Another political party	.9%
Can't say/none of the above	3.2%

48. How would you describe your political ideology?

Very conservative	11.5%
Somewhat conservative	24.9%
Somewhat liberal	20.9%
Very liberal	10.6%
Moderate/Independent	22.8%
Libertarian	3.7%
Other	1.5%
Unsure	4.1%

49. Are you male or female?

Male	47.1%
Female	52.9%

50. Which of the following categories reflects your age?

18 to 29	12.0%
30 to 39	18.0%
40 to 49	19.0%
50 to 64	24.0%
65 and older	27.0%

51. What best describes your racial or ethnic heritage?

White/Non Hispanic	77.2%
Latino/Hispanic	11.7%
Black/African American	8.0%
Asian/Pacific Islander	2.1%
Other	1.0%

52. What state do you live in?

Alabama	1.0%	Maine	.3%	Oregon	2.1%
Alaska	.4%	Maryland	1.9%	Pennsylvania	4.1%
Arizona	2.8%	Massachusetts	1.8%	Rhode Island	.3%
Arkansas	.3%	Michigan	4.4%	South Carolina	1.2%
California	11.4%	Minnesota	2.0%	South Dakota	
Colorado	1.7%	Mississippi	.6%	Tennessee	.9%
Connecticut	1.0%	Missouri	1.7%	Texas	7.4%
Delaware	.3%	Montana	.3%	Utah	.6%
Florida	7.3%	Nebraska	.8%	Vermont	.3%
Georgia	2.4%	Nevada	.9%	Virginia	2.8%
Hawaii	1.2%	New Hampshire	.3%	Washington	4.3%
Idaho	.8%	New Jersey	3.0%	West Virginia	.2%
Illinois	3.1%	New Mexico	.6%	Wisconsin	2.0%
Indiana	1.7%	New York	7.1%	Wyoming	.4%
Iowa	.7%	North Carolina	3.9%	U.S. Territory	.4%
Kansas	.7%	North Dakota	.3%	District of Columbia	.3%
Kentucky	1.0%	Ohio	4.0%		
Louisiana	.6%	Oklahoma	.4%		