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## OBJECTIVES \& METHODOLOGY

## Objectives

A market test was conducted to gather consumer feedback on [Brand] [Product].

## QUANTITATIVE CONSUMER FEEDBACK ON:

- Future Purchase Interest
- Overall Satisfaction
- Frequency of Purchase
- Quality Perceptions
- Taste Perceptions
- Value Perception
- Portion Size
- Believability
- Likes \& Dislikes
- Impact on Perceptions of [Brand]


## Research Methodology

Total $\mathrm{N}=185$
[Product1] $\mathrm{n}=63$
[Product2] $\mathrm{n}=122$

- Age 21+
- [Brand] Non-Rejectors
- Concept/Category Acceptors


## WHAT

## 10 MINUTES

Geo-Targeted Mobile Intercepts
Dates: November 1, 2022 - January 2, 2023

WHERE
[States]

QUANTITATIVE SURVEY
In-Store Directed Shop:
Recruit consumers to visit specific [Brand] locations to purchase a [Product] and provide feedback.

## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

## Overall Performance Summary - Total

KEY PERFORMANCE INDICATORS


## PURCHASE FREQUENCY^

(Those who indicated T2B PI)


## FUTURE VISITATION



## Overall Performance Summary - [Product1]

KEY PERFORMANCE INDICATORS


PURCHASE FREQUENCY^ (Those who indicated T2B PI)


FUTURE VISITATION


## Overall Performance Summary - [Product2]

KEY PERFORMANCE INDICATORS


## PURCHASE FREQUENCY^

(Those who indicated T2B PI)


## FUTURE VISITATION



## Key Metrics Summary by Item

$\left.\begin{array}{|l|l|l|l|l|l|}\hline \text { [Product2] } \\ \text { (B) }\end{array}\right]$

## Summary + Recommendations

| KPI Performance |
| :--- |
| The [Product] has strong ratings for these key metrics: |
| Satisfaction (71\% Very Satisfied) |
| Purchase Intent (52\% Definitely Would Buy) |
| A healthy amount of Triers indicate intent to visit |
| [Brand] more often in the future (46\%) and view it as a |
| regular purchase any time of the year (44\%) |
| Customers agree beverages are a good fit for [Brand] |
| Awareness, Drivers \& Occasions |
| Top purchase drivers include: |
| $\quad$ Tasty, Liking the Flavors, and the Overall Look |
| of the [Product] (functional) |
| Liking [Product], the Refreshing nature, and |
| Fun to Consume (emotional) |
| Noticeability of the [Product] is strong (56\% Noticed) |
| Product Composition |
| Taste/Flavor and Product Attributes for both |
| [Products] are rated highest by customers |
| Overall Tartness, Sweetness, Flavor, Color, |
| Consistency, Portion Size, and Texture surpass the |
| JAR hurdle for the [Products] |
| Freshness is scored highest among customers for |
| both [Products] |



## KPI Performance

$x$ The [Products] are not seen as particularly Unique (19\% Top Box)
x Impact on Quality Perception is also low for the [Products] (21\% Top Box perceptions of restaurant would improve)

## Awareness, Drivers \& Occasions

X Awareness of the [Product2] is limited (12\% Aware)

## Product Composition

x Both [Products] have challenges for Overall Sweetness (19\% Not Enough)
x [Product1] Triers indicate there not being enough [Ingredient].
$x \quad$ Those who tried the [Product2] also rate the [Product] as not having enough [Ingredient].

## Recommendations

## KPI Performance

- To improve metrics for the [Products], consider altering the product composition as noted below

Awareness, Drivers \& Occasions

- Continue to display the [Products] to help build awareness of the [Products]
- Additionally, in-store advertisements can increase awareness of the [Products]


## Product Composition

- Potentially introduce new flavors to help build Unique perceptions and cater to a wider audience
- Adding different garnishes and/or using a different [ingredient] may improve perceptions of the Products] and increase Appearance ratings
- Ensuring the [Products] are visually consistent throughout [Brand] could also help with better execution
- Consider adding a touch more [Ingredient] into the [Product1] and [Ingredient] into the [Product2] to help better the [Products] flavor profile
- Increasing the amount of flavors into the [Product2] can positively impact ratings

PERFORMANCE SUMMARY (Overall Product Performance)

## Over two-thirds express strong Satisfaction with the [Product] but most do not find the [Products] to be Unique.

OVERALL SATISFACTION
(Very Satisfied - 5 Point Scale)


Total

[Product1 ] (A)

[Product 2] (B)

## UNIQUENESS

(Very Unique - 3 Point Scale)


Base: Total (185), [Product1] (63), [Product2) (122)
Q13. Overall, how satisfied were you with [Brand] [Product]?
Q21. How unique is [Brand] [Product] compared to similar products at other (Category) locations?

## Similarly, Purchase Intent is strong for both [Products]. Four-in-ten expect to purchase the [Product] 2-3 times a week or more.

PURCHASE INTENT
(Definitely Would Buy - 5 Point Scale)


Total

[Product1 ] (A)

[Product 2] (B)

PURCHASE FREQUENCY
(Those who indicated T2B PI)


## Taste/Flavor and Product Attributes dominate open-ended likes for the [Product]

## LIKES



## The [Product] exceed the JAR hurdle for most metrics, but triers would like the [Products] to be bigger.



-Just Right
D Not enough
JAR Hurdle: 75\%

## Nearly half of Triers view the [Products] as a Regular Purchase and

 indicate intent to visit [Brand] more often as a result of their experience.FUTURE PURCHASE OCCASIONS


## FUTURE VISITATION



## Awareness of the [Product] and Previous Trial elsewhere is significantly higher among [Product1] Triers.

AWARENESS OF [Product] PRIOR TO SURVEY


Base: Total (185), [Product1] (63), [Product2] (122)
Q4a. Had you heard of [Brand]' [Product] prior to this survey?
Q7. Have you ever tried the [Product] or this type of product at [Brand] or elsewhere (i.e., other restaurants, etc.) before today?

## Notes to Reader

# Where applicable results are significance tested at a 95\% confidence level in order to ensure accuracy in reporting. 

Product1
(A)

34\%

Product2
(B)

66\% ${ }^{\text {A }}$

Capitalized notations found in this presentation indicate a statistically significant difference at a $95 \%$ confidence interval between buyers

MFOUR STUDIO - BEHAVIORAL DATA

## MFour Studio.

Sure, behavioral data is powerful on its own - but add survey responses to the mix, and you can finally contextualize your data, making for more impactful findings. Add behavior data to your surveys, all on one platform.

## 1. Feedback.

- Get real-time venue, app, and website visitation data.


## 2. Behavior Data.

- Access behavioral data before + after a survey response, to increase conversions.


## 3. Analyze.

- Then, analyze your consumers' path to purchase, and watch as sales grow.



## MFour Behavioral Data Reveals Higher [Brand] Visitation Among Those Who Indicate WOM Recommendation as a Purchase Driver

With the group already showing elevated visitation to [Brand] post-survey, increasing talkability of the [Product] through flyers, ads, and advocacy may help build potential for an actual purchase while they're at the restaurant.
[Brand] Visitation

■ Offers good value for the price

30\%


Base: Q11. Thinking in general, please select reasons why you would purchase a [Product]
Good Value/Heard Good Things - 1 Day After (48/33), 7 Days After (48/33), 14 Days After (48/33)

Behavior-Driven Research.

